VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY 9915 - 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI April 7, 2014 6:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, April 7, 2014. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Kris Keckler was excused. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Tom Shircel, Assistant Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; Dave Smetana, Police Chief; Doug McElmury; Fire & Rescue Chief; Mike Spence, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Carol Willke, HR and Recreation Director; Dan Honore', IT Director; Sandro Perez, Inspection Superintendent and Jane M. Romanowski, Village Clerk. Two citizens attended the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

Jane Romanowski:

Kris Keckler is excused. He had a previous engagement prior to being appointed to the Board.

4. MINUTES OF MEETINGS - March 17, 2014

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Move to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Mike. Any discussion?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 17, 2014 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

John Steinbrink:

Anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments? Hearing none, I'm going to close citizens' comments.

6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'm going to need to request a special Board meeting prior to the next Plan Commission meeting. We were informed by the State today that the contract for salt needs to be returned and they're giving us nine days to do it. And we've had money authorized in the budget. I believe that was \$180,000. That's what we anticipated going for, and we're going to need in the vicinity of \$260,000. So we want to be able to present a plan for how we're going to pay for that this year. But my recommendation is we're going to have to make a great purchase in order to get our salt reserves back up to where we want them to be so we can do business. And inasmuch as that amount is going to exceed our budget amount we'll need to have a special meeting on Monday night so we can authorize a purchase with the State and get that off to them by the 14th or is it the 16th? The 16th. We're meeting on the 14th; we have to respond by the 16th so I couldn't let it go another week.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #14-05 related to several amendments to the Village Comprehensive Plan as a result of the Village no longer being certified for the Farmland Preservation Program by the State of Wisconsin.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President, I would ask that items for Ordinance 14-06 and -07 be taken up at the same time.

John Steinbrink:

Motion to include Item B and Item A together.

ALLEN MOVED TO CONSIDER ITEMS A AND BE TOGETHER; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

B. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinances #14-06 and #14-07 for several Zoning Text and Map Amendments as a result of the Village no longer being certified for the Farmland Preservation Program by the State of Wisconsin.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the three ordinances that you have before you refer to amendments to the Village's Comprehensive Plan as a result of the Village no longer being certified in the Farmland Preservation Program, and that's Ordinance #14-05. The second items, Ordinance 14-06 and 14-07 refer to several zoning text and map amendments. And these amendments have to do with the fact that since the Village is no longer participating in the Farmland Preservation Program, and there are no farmers in the Village of Pleasant that are certified or participating in the program, no longer does the Village need to have an A-1, Agricultural Preservation District, or any type of references to that A-1 District. We need to remove all references in the zoning ordinance to the A-1 District in the conditional use section, in the definition section and all of the other sections of the zoning ordinance. It's similar to a spider's web. As soon as you start to pull one section or one area that refers to a district that we refer to multiple times throughout the ordinance you need to make all those modifications and changes in your zoning ordinance.

So, again, we've got the three different ordinance amendments for you this evening. Again, the first one is just a simple modification to the Comprehensive Plan. And it refers to the sentence that includes a reference to the Farmland Preservation Program. This has to do with agricultural production in the Comprehensive Plan. As of December 13, 2012 the Village is no longer certified for the Farmland Preservation Program by the State of Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection.

Again, maybe I should back up for just a minute. As you know over many, many years the Village and the Town of Pleasant Prairie were participating in the Farmland Preservation Program. And under Section 91.10 of the statutes through Kenosha County they were authorized to prepare a Farmland Preservation Program plan which we had participated in. When we had multiple farmers participating in the program we had to continue to be active for the farmers to be able to get the tax credits to continue to

participate, and there was a recent update to that program plan through Kenosha County in 2011. At that time we still believed we had one farmer that was participating in the program. So we went through that whole program planning with Kenosha County and the other townships in Kenosha County and adopted that Farmland Preservation Program plan as part of our Comprehensive Plan. Again, now that that farmer is no longer participating and we received an official letter from DATCP basically stating that we were no longer qualified to participate, we're going through and doing some cleanup work to our Comprehensive Plan and our zoning ordinance.

So, again, this is the first amendment to our Comprehensive Plan. And, again, we need to reference, continue to participate in and support the Wisconsin Farmland Preservation Program which provides income tax credits to eligible farmland owners. Again, we need to delete any reference or section to the Comprehensive Plan that has that particular language which we are proposing to do this evening.

In Chapter 9 of the plan a last sentence is being added. As of December 31st, as I mentioned, 2012 the Village is no longer certified as part of the State of Wisconsin program. That reference, again, to delete that reference from the Comprehensive Plan. And we have a listing of all the plans that this community has adopted in the Comprehensive Plan. The Kenosha County Farmland Preservation Plan was one of those last updated in 2011. Again, we're also deleting that as our listing of plans to follow.

So as I mentioned at the beginning, looking at the zoning ordinance we need to repeal Section 421-01 entitled A-1, Agricultural Preservation District. We need to repeal Section 420-14 entitled amendments to the Agricultural Preservation District. We need to amend the following sections to remove references to the A-1 District and the recently repealed A-4 District and the ALHO District. Again, all of these sections refer to the prime ag district provisions in performance standards related to pet and animal regulations, related to detached accessory building standards, related to notices of conditional uses granted, related to conditional uses for airstrips, landing fields and hangars, and related to conditional use standards for community living arrangements and conditional uses related to wind energy conversion systems.

Next we needed to amend the zoning ordinance as it relates to 420-87B related to decks to clarify that these regulations refer to properties zoned in the ag or residential districts and to clarify the street setbacks. We needed to delete the basic zoning district A-1 from Section 420-100 A (1), and we needed to amend 420-139 B (8) related to average street setbacks to remove the reference to the A-1 and add a reference to the AGO District.

As I mentioned earlier, one of the particular properties that was participating in that program in the early 2000's known as the Michaelis property on 85th Street, we need to now since he's not participating in the program rezone his property out of that A-1

District and place it into the General Agricultural District or A-2 District. His address is at 6109 85th Street. All of those portions on his property that are currently zoned C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District, or FPO, Floodplain Overlay District, or if he's got areas located in the Shoreland Jurisdictional area all of those conservancy areas must remain on his particular property. Again, this should not affect his individual property or values just because we are moving it just from the prime ag or the exclusive ag district, A-1, to a General Agricultural District, A-2.

These matters were before the Village Plan Commission at their last meeting. Public hearings were held on all of the petitions and all of the amendments. And the Plan Commission recommended approval subject to the comments and conditions and the approval, again, of that Comprehensive Plan Amendment.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Jane Romanowski:

I'll need three separate motions. The first one needs a roll call.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of 14-05.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of 14-05. Further discussion? Hearing none, roll call vote has been requested.

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #14-05 RELATED TO SEVERAL AMENDMENTS TO THE VILLAGE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AS A RESULT OF THE VILLAGE NO LONGER BEING CERTIFIED FOR THE FARMLAND PRESERVATION PROGRAM BY THE STATE OF WISCONSIN; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE – ALLEN – AYE; KUMORKIEWICZ – AYE; STEINBRINK – AYE; SERPE – AYE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of 14-06.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde for adoption of 14-06. Any further discussion on that item?

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #14-06 TO AMEND CHAPTER 420 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION DISTRICT; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

teve Kumorkiewicz:

Make a motion to adopt 14-07.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde for adoption of 14-07. Any further discussion on that item?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #14-07 TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 420-13; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

C. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #14-08 for several Zoning Tex Amendments related to Commercial Communication Structures.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, for you this evening are a consideration of several zoning text amendments, seven different amendments which I will go into a little bit of detail. These have to do with commercial communication structures in the Village of Pleasant Prairie. The 2013 Biennial Budget Act for the State of Wisconsin modified the regulatory powers of local governments in regard to cell phone towers as referred to in the Village zoning ordinance as commercial communication structures. The new law specifies the manner in which a municipality can use zoning to regulate such facilities and list specific regulations that a municipality may no longer apply.

The new law states specifically that a municipality may regulate cell phone towers under a zoning ordinance, but it did place some very strict regulations in doing so. It specified the procedures, the standards a municipality must use in reviewing applications for permits to construct or to modify a tower. It also listed specific limitations or regulations that a municipality may not impose on the construction or the modification of a tower. One of the biggest changes that it did was it took away any authority or approval of power or authority by the Village Plan Commission through a conditional use permit process. Now there is a process that's being set forth. Again, it's based very closely on the State law. My Village staff, Peggy Herrick and myself, worked with our Village Attorney, Kevin Long. As you know many of you, if not all of you, were at the Plan Commission meeting earlier this evening. We went through the details of the particular law and specifically how it affected the new changes to our new ordinance as it affects cellular communication facilities.

The seven specific areas of the zoning ordinance that were modified were 1) to create Section 420-22 A (7) related to adding a Commercial Communication Structure Permit as a permit type; 2) to create Section 420-29 J related to fees for a Commercial Communication Structure Permits; 3) to repeal and recreate Section 420-89 related to requirements for Commercial Communication Structures; 4) to amend Article XVI of the zoning ordinance by adding Commercial Communications Structures as a principal use in all of the districts as listed from A-2 all the way to the C-3 districts; and as accessory uses in the districts that are listed, again primarily all the A, B, M, PR-2 and PR-3 and I-1 Districts of the zoning ordinance; 5) to amend Article XVI to remove Commercial Communication Structures as a conditional use in all of the districts within the ordinance; 6) to delete Section 420-148 B (17) and (17.1) related to conditional use standards for Commercial Communication Structures; and 7) to delete the definition of Commercial Communication Structures as currently listed in Section 420-152.

Again, the Village staff has taken the last three to four months to go through in comparing, looking at our previous zoning ordinance and looking at the State statutes and what we were allowed to put together as part of a new ordinance for Pleasant Prairie.

Again, put substantial limitations or restrictions on the Village, but it did give us some zoning authority and approval authority over the various sitings of new towers. We have been discussing our modifications with representatives from various cellular communication companies and their attorneys over the past few weeks, and have been providing them the information. And we have been working with them to make sure that they have similar or the same interpretations of our ordinance. And we intend to continue to work with them for the siting of towers and the co-location of the antennas throughout the community.

And, again, I'm not going to go through all of the details as we went through all of those at the Plan Commission, but as you know there are a number of limitations that are now being placed on the local communities. But we needed to do this as soon as possible because our existing ordinances were no longer in effect with respect to communication towers. And we do have a number of applications that would like to come in over the next few days. So with that the Village staff recommends approval of the zoning text amendments as presented.

Let me just make one clarification. And that is the ordinance that you were provided on I believe Monday or Friday of this past week we have made some minor modifications which we did discuss at the Plan Commission. And they're on the green sheets, and so we just needed to make sure that these modifications and changes are also included as part of the new ordinance that we're looking to adopt this evening.

Michael Serpe:

This law really benefits the communication companies and a very few people who own the property on which these towers are going to be put on. And it's unfortunate that we have legislators in Madison that respond to only those that bring in large amounts of money either to their campaign or to their party. And the rest of us just sit back and we lose control. And there's more coming. There's more coming. I get enraged when I see stuff like this that Madison can take over local control throughout the State of Wisconsin based only on how money is being brought into that city. And that's a shame. That is a shame.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I agree with you, Mike. It appears that Madison works for special interests, not for the communities. The local communities are losing local control. The home rule is disappearing. Every time we turn around a new bill is passed that takes control away from the communities. But then we are the ones who have to answer the questions to the residents. Madison is doing this, Madison is doing that. Madison should be working for

the communities. Not just for the people who are getting bucks over there. It's like you, it gets me very upset.

John Steinbrink:

Mike, this was inserted in the budget, correct? So there was no public hearing on it, no input. No chance to --

Mike Pollocoff:

It was done at the last hour at joint finance. So when it came out really nobody could respond to it because nobody had seen it.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

That's what they want.

Mike Pollocoff:

I would also recommend, I visited with Kevin after the Plan Commission meeting, and I asked him to do some research on how we can be certain that the tower sitings don't end up being a tax dodge where the cell tower companies have an exemption from property taxes. And it shouldn't translate into somebody who is changing the use of their property receiving a rent payment. I mean the transaction between a cell company and the property owner if they have to account to the taxes so be it. But we need to see if, one, if there's something we can put in our ordinance to make sure that the local property tax owners aren't footing the bill for that exemption. Or redefining the terms to which those exemptions would be placed. My first inclination was ag because that's got some unique ways in which those values are determined. But on the other hand I would think that a commercial tower on a residential lot would be of significantly greater value than a home and should that be taxes of what's a vacant single family lot or should it be taxed as a property with a commercial use on it.

Like I say, I think it behooves the Village to protect the rest of the taxpayers that didn't benefit from this to be able to get that cleaned up. I guess if that's offensive to the cell companies they can go back and get that cleaned up at a later time. But at least for this upcoming reval cycle and budget cycle we can get that addressed so that there's some element of fairness to where these get sited and what they have to pay for it.

John Steinbrink:

It follows in the footsteps of cable TV and billboards, so it's a continuing process. With that we need a motion.

Michael Serpe:

We have no choice but to approve 14-08 and I would make that motion.

Clyde Allen:

I'll second it under duress.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Any further discussion on 14-08?

MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN SERPE COMMISSION **RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #14-08 FOR SEVERAL ZONING** TEX AMENDMENTS RELATED TO COMMUNICATION COMMERCIAL **STRUCTURES; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.**

D. Consider Professional Services Agreement with Clark Dietz to perform field surveying and base mapping services for Heritage Valley Sewer.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the Village has an existing pump station that serves the Heritage Valley Subdivision which is just located south of Country Trunk Highway C. The station is old, and it needs to be repaired or replaced. As you may recall the Village constructed a new sanitary sewer to replace the Sewer D wastewater treatment plant in 2010. As a result of that we can abandon the existing pump station, just give you a frame. County Trunk Highway C is up here. This is the sewer D sewer line that was installed back in 2010. The existing lift station is here. So because we have this availability of this sewer now we will be able to abandon that sewer by connecting the existing sewer to a new sewer that will run to the east and connect up to Sewer D.

So the contract that we have tonight is to allow for Clark Dietz to do survey, topographic mapping and base map preparation so that the Village engineering department can prepare the plans and specs to install this sewer. The total fee for these services is \$8,600. Clark Dietz has performed similar projects for the Village in the past. And I'm

recommending that this contract be executed for the survey work. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

Michael Serpe:

Mike, how old is that system over there?

Mike Pollocoff:

That was put in I believe in '94-'95. That lift station was really meant to be a temporary lift station. The rest of that development was going to proceed farther to the north. It would tie into the gravity sewer at some point and the lift station would be abandoned. But that subdivision had problems before there were problems. So we're at the point where we need to modify a temporary station as Mike said and do some work on it. It would just be money wasted. Might as well put into the main and get it diverted.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Question for Mike. Mike, if I recall correctly Heritage Valley they were selling lots west of the area in phase 2 but they never did it. Didn't we have a problem with that once?

Mike Pollocoff:

We had a lot of problems with that development. They were looking to sell lots south but they never did get to that point because that wasn't platted. But they had taken peoples' money, hadn't paid some bills, there were liens on the property. There were some fiduciary problems with that developer, and that's why that development came to a stop. I might add just to pour some more vinegar on a wound, just this last week we had held letter of credits, that's one of the ways we're able to make sure that the people in that subdivision didn't get put in a financial situation. And neither did the Village taxpayers because we didn't have to finish the roads and stuff.

Now the State has eliminated our ability to do letter of credits. We can only do it if a developer is willing to do it. Now a developer can get a bond, and then if the Village has a problem with the bond we would have to sue the bonding company to get performance. So in a matter like this we would have been in litigation for quite some time because a bonding company has all the incentive in the world to not pay the bond. And the price of a bond is usually maybe a tenth of what a letter of credit is because the letter of credit ensures that the cash is there, that the only ones that can take the money out is the Village. So situations like this you can see we're dealing with it now. I mean we still are going to do what was going to happen anyway. But had this been under a bond we would have been in an incredibly difficult situation.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

The State is doing us another favor with this eliminating the letter of credit?

Mike Pollocoff:

No, they're doing the homebuilders a favor.

Clyde Allen:

Is this covered in the budget, the \$8,600?

Mike Spence:

Yes, this number was put in the budget for the sewer.

Clyde Allen:

Okay, with that I'll make the motion to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, is there a second? Motion by Mike. Any further discussion? Thinking back to Heritage those folks there owe Mike a debt of gratitude for the fact that you were able to clean up all that financial mess out there so that mortgages stayed intact without them losing their property or their investment in the property. But, once again, somebody is going to find a way to supersede that on our part.

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CLARK DIETZ TO PERFORM FIELD SURVEYING AND BASE MAPPING SERVICES FOR THE HERITAGE VALLEY SEWER PROJECT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

E. Consider Professional Services Agreement with Clark Dietz to perform design and construction services for the Niagara Bottling, LLC.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President and members of the Board, this improvement has been identified as part of the process that we went through in looking at the latest amendment to TID #2. This improvement is a new sewer that will go from Lot 75 where Niagra will be building their new facility and it will be going north along County Trunk Highway H to an existing sewer that goes to the LakeView pump station at Highway 165.

This sewer has a number of benefits in that it will increase the flow to the LakeView pump station. The LakeView pump station has a large capacity, and it's underutilized right now, and so it will be more efficient. In addition, this project with the whole Niagra development will result in positive adjustments to the rate structure for sewers for the Village. Here you can see the sewer will start. This is where the proposed Niagra facility will be right here. And so we're going to be installing and designing this sewer to run north, and it will tie into an existing sewer by 165 here. And then ultimately it will discharge into the LakeView pump station.

The services as part of this contract will include, survey, the sewer design. We may need to acquire some easements so that will be part of this. And then the construction documents as well as bidding and construction are included. The fee for these services is \$65,300 for design and \$39,750 for construction-related services. That total number is not right. The total will be \$114,700, about \$105,000, whatever those two numbers add up to be. Again, I recommend -- Clark Dietz has done similar work for us in the past for this, and I recommend that this contract be executed. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

Michael Serpe:

I move approval.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any further discussion? Mike, that little flagged lot in there, that little lot that's still a wetland in there on H.

Mike Pollocoff:

Yeah, that will stay. It's pristine.

John Steinbrink:

It doesn't go to the other properties at all then, it just stays?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

John Steinbrink:

So who controls that?

Mike Pollocoff:

Center Point.

John Steinbrink:

So they're responsible for the maintenance of it and everything?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

For now.

John Steinbrink:

Okay, we have a motion, we have a second. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CLARK DIETZ TO PERFORM DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR THE 88TH AVENUE SEWER EXTENSION FOR THE PROPOSED NIAGARA BOTTLING PROJECT; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

F. Consider an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with GAI, Inc. to perform surveying and design services for the PrairieWood Water Main Project.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President and members of the Board, there have been a number of improvements that have been identified for the Village's water system, again, as part of the approved Amendment #5 to TID 2. What we're trying to do is increase capacity and flows in the western part of the Village's water system. The map that I have here this map has an additional water main that this is the Premium Outlets, and this particular water main will provide some additional redundancy and reliability for the proposed Riverview Corporate Park. So this is part of the design effort in this contract.

The next improvement is an additional water main that will run from the Village's water town, again, by the Premium Outlets there. It will run to the west, cross I-94, and then will run along the west frontage road and then on the north side of County Trunk Highway Q to the western limits of the Village limits. This water main will allow for the adequate pressures or flows for fire protection for the Uline distribution center there.

The scope of services for this project, again, includes the water main on the east frontage road as well as the water main by the west frontage road. This project will include design services, survey and construction bids. And also will include recommendation of an award for the contract. The total fee for this project is \$83,550. GAI has done a number of these projects for the Village, and they have been working with us on improvements for the Riverview Corporate Park so it's a natural extension of that. So I recommend that this amendment to the existing contract be executed.

Michael Serpe:

This water line dead ends right at our Village boundary.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

Michael Serpe:

Is there any future looping? Is that going to loop to the north eventually?

Mike Pollocoff:

It will move through the Abbott site, yeah.

Mike Spence:

Yeah, eventually, Mike, we've got a plan for the whole Abbott site, and this will tie to that, yeah. We don't want to have a long dead ended main like that for very long.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I've got a question. Mike, the water pressure is going to be equalized due to the tower over there same as the rest of the Village?

Mike Spence:

Yes.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Equalized pressure?

Mike Spence:

Yeah, everything is on the zone that pretty much all the Village is on.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Now, we need to rebore under the Interstate to put it to Uline?

Mike Spence:

That is correct.

John Steinbrink:

So all of our towers are at the same elevation, the water [inaudible].

Mike Spence:

That is correct.

John Steinbrink:

That's kind of a unique thing with the lowering of the Ladish tower. We achieved that with everything being at the same elevation. I don't think most people realize that.

Mike Spence:

That really gives John and our utility really the tools to really manage this system effectively because we're all on the same pressure.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Equalized, yes. But lowering the tower 40 feet at County C [inaudible].

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for approval. Further discussion?

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH GAI, INC. TO PERFORM SURVEYING AND DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE PRAIRIEWOOD WATER MAIN PROJECT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

G. Consider an award of contract for the Cooper Road Sewer Rehabilitation Project.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the Pleasant Prairie Sewer Utility is planning the third phase of a multi-year rehabilitation project for the Cooper Road drainage basin. The area is generally located north of 85th to 76th Street along Cooper Road west to 56th Ave. This year will include relining sections of sanitary sewer mains on 79th, 81st, 82nd and 84th Street along with a section along 54th Ave. The sewer was constructed around the 1950s and consists of 2.5 miles of clay main and 222 six inch clay laterals. The area has been subject to sewer backups and high sewer flows. During rain events the flows increased ten times higher than during dry conditions. Causes of these flows are infiltration in the ground water and into the sewer mains and laterals and some illegal sump pump connections. On Friday, March 21st, three bids were received for installation of approximately 2,560 feet of sewer main lining and rehabilitation for 181 vertical feet of sanitary manhole. Three bids were received. The lowest one was by Visu-Sewer in the amount of \$116,934. The two other bids were from Terra Engineering and Construction for \$129,258, and the third was Michels Corporation for \$141,269. The approved 2014 sewer utility capital budget for this project was \$133,300. So we are under budget with the project.

Visu-Sewer has completed the sanitary sewer relining for Pleasant Prairie in the past. Pipe relining has become a very popular rehabilitation method. A new liner is pulled into the existing sewer main lateral and cured into place. It's a very cost effective method and is recommended for this project. I recommend a contract be awarded to Visu-Sewer for sanitary sewer lining services and manhole rehabilitation in phase three of the Cooper Road rehabilitation project not to exceed \$116,934. And I can answer any questions.

John Steinbrink:

John, can you explain that process a little more? I mean there's no digging involved unless there's a real structural problem.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Right, there's no excavation involved. On the graphic that we have up top, the new liner is filled with epoxy and it's inverted and then air pressure is forced through the pipe and so it's pulled through the pipe. When it's all done, it becomes like a hard liner about a quarter inch thick. Then it makes a little dimple where the laterals are, and then there's a robotic cutter that goes in the sewer main, cuts out the lateral openings. So what that does is that gives you the structural integrity and lack of infiltration which you have in those clay mains. So you're really taking a flexible pipe, pulling it backwards, heating it up with hot water, it cures it, and then it creates like a new plastic pipe as shown with the picture to the right. So the picture on the right kind of shows an old pipe and then the new liner is kind of extended out, the white component of it inside.

John Steinbrink:

Is there anything people could do if they have a failing lateral?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

This technique is being used for laterals, and it's something that the Village is going to pursue beginning next year. So this is the last year of lining all the mains and then we're going to start lining the laterals as a component of it. We haven't put together a project yet, and we'll probably bring to the Board within the budget process next year different options for residents probably being excavation and replacement which is costly, relining which is very less intrusive with this, or some component of a lateral insurance program. There are different companies out there that offer that. And so we're kind of investigating and preparing a proposal for the 2015 budget for the Board to review.

Michael Serpe:

John, did you mention that some of these existing sewer lines are clay tile?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, the entire basin is made out of clay pipe around five feet long and there are some joints in there, but the joints have failed and the pipes deteriorated over the probably 60 or 70 years that it's been there. It's definitely exceeded its useful life and so this is a much cheaper rehabilitation than going through and excavating the road, replacing the road and working around the fiber optics and stuff like that.

Michael Serpe:

And putting this liner in it's not going to get damaged by the damaged tile that's in there, is it?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

No, it does not. It's a very think liner. It's about a quarter inch. I have a couple of samples. I should have brought one with but I did not. I can bring that to the next Board meeting for the Board to kind of hold and touch and kind of see what it is.

Michael Serpe:

If something were to fail on the new liner is it easily repaired or not?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

You would have to do an excavation if it would fail. So far we have about 6,000 feet of it in the Village and we have zero failure right now and so it's a very reliable product.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Is there another area around here who got [inaudible].

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

This is probably one of the first areas that have done the lateral lining or the main line lining. We've been very happy with it as the Village staff, and I'm going to propose doing hopefully a little bit more of it in other areas of clay pipe within the Village in the future. This would have probably cost well over a million dollars to do the excavation, tear up the road and all the rehab and the headache that comes along with it. So it's a much cheaper rehab method.

John Steinbrink:

Clyde, you had a question?

Clyde Allen:

John, is there a useful life in it, estimated life?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It's kind of a newer product. Word out by the manufacturer is saying around maybe 50 to 70 years.

Clyde Allen:

Okay, and being under budget I'm happy to make a motion to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Any further discussion?

ALLEN MOVED TO AWARD A CONTRACT TO VISU-SEWER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$116,934 TO COMPLETE PHASE 3 OF THE COOPER ROAD SEWER REHABILITATION PROJECT; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

H. Consider the request for a new liquor license agent for the Chancery Pub and Restaurant located at 11900 108th Street.

Jane Romanowski:

This is a request just for a new agent for the liquor license that is currently issued to the Chancery. This happens here and there when the manager or the current agent is no longer an employee of the Chancery, and that's what's happened in this case. So the Restaurant of Pleasant Prairie, Inc. has submitted a request to change the agent. Chief Smetana took a look at that police check and approved it and basically they sent the \$10 check out to issue the new license with the new agent's name on. When the renewals are out that name will be on the renewals as well and the license will be issued.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE the request for GEORGE FLESS TO BE APPOINTED AS THE new liquor license agent for the Chancery Pub and Restaurant located at 11900 108th Street; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

I. Consider Operator License Applications on file.

Jane Romanowski:

There are three applications, Jaclyn Flick, Shannon Glascock, Maxine Larsen. Recommend approval of all three.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Clyde. Any discussion?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO APPROVE OPERATOR LICENSES FOR JACLYN FLICK, SHANNON GLASCOCK AND MAXINE LARSEN; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Michael Serpe:

Just one. With what we went through tonight with the Plan Commission and the Board with reference to the communication towers I just would hope that the people, not just of the Village of Pleasant Prairie, but the whole State of Wisconsin pay attention to what's going on in Madison because local control is going to be a thing of the past. And it's unfortunate, but it's a little upsetting.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

As I say the control is done by the local interests, by the interests, not by the communities.

John Steinbrink:

Just one other comment. Judging by the crowds and the parking at the RecPlex it's been a very busy season out there. Unfortunately we don't have that additional parking because of interference in Madison made that impossible to do in a timely manner. As long as we're piling on I might as well throw one more.

9. ADJOURNMENT

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:50 P.M.